

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 275 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 410 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 276 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 411 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 277 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 412 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 278 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 413 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 279 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 414 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 280 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 415 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 281 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 416 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 282 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 417 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 283 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 418 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 284 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 419 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 285 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 420 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 286 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 421 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 287 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 422 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 288 OF 2007

IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 423 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 289 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 424 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 290 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 425 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 291 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 426 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 292 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 427 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 293 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 428 OF 2007
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 294 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 429 OF 2007

Mr.Tribhuvan J. Pachal. ... Applicant.

V/s.

M/s.Reliance Steel Traders
and another. ... Respondents.

Manoj Bhatt for the applicant.

Rajesh More, APP for the State.

CORAM: V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED: 13th September 2007.

P.C.:

. Not on board. Mentioned by learned counsel
for the applicant.

2. Vide separate orders dated 5th September, 2007, the applicant was granted bail, subject to executing P.R.Bond of Rs.10,000/- in each case with one surety in the like amount.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that it would not be possible for the applicant to arrange for surety in all the above 20 cases arising from the prosecution under section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act. He thus, prayed for dispensing with the necessity of furnishing one surety as ordered by this Court.

4. Considering the prayer made and the difficulty of the applicant to arrange for surety, necessity of furnishing surety is dispensed with. The words "with one surety in the like amount" appearing in para-4 of the order dated 5th September, 2007 stand deleted. Orders stand modified accordingly. Rest of the orders shall remain as it is.

5. All applications stand disposed of in terms of this order.

JUDGE